

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

AN ACT  
D.C. ACT 15-61

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
APRIL 16, 2003

*Codification  
 District of  
 Columbia  
 Official Code*

2001 Edition

2003 Supp.

West Group  
 Publisher

To amend, on an emergency basis, due to Congressional review, the Housing Production Trust Fund Act of 1988 to modify the period of minimum affordability for housing units assisted with the Housing Production Trust Fund.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this act may be cited as the "Housing Production Trust Fund Continuing Basis Definition Congressional Review Emergency Amendment Act of 2003".

Sec. 2. The Housing Production Trust Fund Act of 1988, effective March 16, 1989 (D.C. Law 7-202; D.C. Official Code § 42-2801 *et seq.*), is amended as follows:

(a) Section 2 (D.C. Official Code § 42-2801) is amended by adding a new paragraph (3B) to read as follows:

Note,  
 § 42-2801

"(3B) Continuing affordability means:

"(A) For rental units, a period of at least 30 years; and

"(B) For for-sale units, a period of at least 5 years."

(b) Section 3(d)(8) (D.C. Official Code § 42-2802(d)(8)) is amended by striking the phrase "targeted populations." and inserting the phrase "targeted populations; provided, that the Department shall not be required to assure affordability on a continuing basis where assistance is provided for the rehabilitation of owner-occupied single-family homes or where assistance is provided under the Homestead Housing Preservation Act of 1986, effective August 9, 1986 (D.C. Law 6-135; D.C. Official Code § 42-2101 *et seq.*) or another statutory program." in its place.

Sec. 3. Repealers.

(a) The Housing Production Trust Fund Affordability Period Emergency Amendment Act of 2002, signed by the Mayor on December 2, 2002 (D.C. Act 14-536), is repealed.

(b) The Housing Production Trust Fund Affordability Period Temporary Amendment Act of 2002, passed on 2nd reading on December 3, 2002 (Enrolled version of Bill 14-923), is repealed.



## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

## Sec. 4. Fiscal impact statement.

The Council adopts the fiscal impact statement for the Housing Production Trust Fund Continuing Basis Definition Temporary Amendment Act of 2003, signed by the Mayor on January 27, 2003 (D.C. Act 14-631; 50 DCR 1412), as the fiscal impact statement required by section 602(c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(3)).

## Sec. 5. Effective date.

This act shall take effect following approval by the Mayor (or in the event of veto by the Mayor, action by the Council to override the veto), and shall remain in effect for no longer than 90 days, as provided for emergency acts of the Council of the District of Columbia in section 412(a) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 788; D.C. Official Code § 1-204.12(a)).

  
Chairman  
Council of the District of Columbia  
Mayor  
District of Columbia

APPROVED  
April 16, 2003

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

AN ACT

D.C. ACT 15-62

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

APRIL 16, 2003*Codification  
District of  
Columbia  
Official Code*

2001 Edition

2003 Supp.

West Group  
Publisher

To amend, on a temporary basis, the Service Improvement and Fiscal Year 2000 Budget Support Act of 1999 to provide that 90% of the grants to a single non-service provider, nonprofit organization shall be used to make sub-grants for the purpose of providing services to District of Columbia children and youth, and their families.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this act may be cited as the "Service Improvement and Fiscal Year 2000 Budget Support Temporary Amendment Act of 2003".

Sec. 2. Section 2403 of the Service Improvement and Fiscal Year 2000 Budget Support Act of 1999, effective October 20, 1999 (D.C. Law 13-38; 46 DCR 6408), is amended by striking the phrase "95%" and inserting the phrase "90%" in its place.

Note,  
§ 2-1501

Sec. 3. Fiscal impact statement.

The Council adopts the fiscal impact statement of the Budget Director as the fiscal impact statement required by section 602(c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(3)).


Sec. 4. Effective date.


(a) This act shall take effect following approval by the Mayor (or in the event of veto by the Mayor, action by the Council to override the veto), a 30-day period of Congressional review as provided in section 602(c)(1) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(1)), and publication in the District of Columbia Register.

(b) This act shall expire 225 days after its having taken effect.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman  
Council of the District of Columbia

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor  
District of Columbia  
APPROVED  
April 16, 2003

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

AN ACT

D.C. ACT 15-63

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

APRIL 16, 2003*Codification  
District of  
Columbia  
Official Code*

2001 Edition

2003 Supp.

West Group  
Publisher

To amend, on a temporary basis, the District of Columbia Traffic Adjudication Act of 1978, and Title 18 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations to provide for a refund of the fee for appealing a notice of traffic infraction to a person who prevails in an appeal.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this act may be cited as the "Traffic Adjudication Appeal Fee Temporary Amendment Act of 2003".

Sec. 2. Section 402 of the District of Columbia Traffic Adjudication Act of 1978, effective September 12, 1978 (D.C. Law 2-104; D.C. Official Code § 50-2304.02), is amended as follows:

*Note,  
§ 50-2304.02*

(a) Designate the existing text as subsection (a).

(b) Add a new subsection (b) to read as follows:

"(b) An aggrieved person who is successful in the appeal of a determination of the existence of liability or the sanction imposed under this subtitle, or both, shall be entitled to a refund of any fee imposed for bringing the appeal."

Sec. 3. Section 3015.1 of Title 18 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations is amended as follows:

*DCMR*

(a) Strike the phrase "which shall not be refundable".

(b) Add a new sentence to the end to read as follows: "This fee shall be refunded to any appellant who is successful in an appeal."

Sec. 4. Fiscal impact statement.


The Council adopts the attached fiscal impact statement as the fiscal impact statement required by section 602(c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(3)).


## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

## Sec. 5. Effective date.

(a) This act shall take effect following approval by the Mayor (or in the event of veto by the Mayor, action by the Council to override the veto), a 30-day period of Congressional review as provided in section 602(c)(1) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(1)), and publication in the District of Columbia Register.

(b) This act shall expire after 225 days of its having taken effect.

  
Chairman  
Council of the District of Columbia

  
Mayor  
District of Columbia  
APPROVED  
April 16, 2003


**Government of the District of Columbia  
Office of the Chief Financial Officer**

**Natwar M. Gandhi**  
Chief Financial Officer



**MEMORANDUM**

**TO:** The Honorable Linda W. Cropp  
Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia

**FROM:** Natwar Gandhi   
Chief Financial Officer

**DATE:** MAR - 3 2003

**SUBJECT:** Fiscal Impact Statement: "Traffic Adjudication Appeal Fee  
Emergency Amendment Act of 2003"

**REFERENCE:** Draft - No Bill Number

---

**Conclusion**

Funds are sufficient in the proposed FY 2003 through FY 2006 budget and financial plan to implement the Traffic Adjudication Appeal Fee Emergency Amendment Act of 2003.

**Background**

The Traffic Adjudication Appeal Fee Emergency Amendment Act of 2003 amends the Traffic Adjudication Act of 1978 and Title 18 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations to provide for the refund of the fee that a person must pay to appeal a notice of infraction if the person prevails in the appeal.

**Financial Plan Impact**

The fee for appealing a notice of infraction is \$10. In calendar year 2002, there were 1,111 appeals filed with the Traffic Adjudication Appeals Board. The appellant prevailed in 185 of those appeals. Based on 2002 appeals activity, the proposed legislation would result in a negligible revenue loss.

**3385**

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
OFFICE OF THE BUDGET DIRECTOR FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Bill Number:	Type: Emergency ( <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ) Temporary ( <input type="checkbox"/> ) Permanent ( <input type="checkbox"/> )	Date Reported: 2/27/03
--------------	---	------------------------

Subject/Short Title: The "Traffic Adjudication Appeal Fee Emergency Amendment Act of 2003"

Part I: Summary of the Fiscal Estimates of the Bill		YES	NO
1. It will impact spending. (If "Yes," complete Section 1 in the Fiscal Estimate Worksheet).		( )	( X )
a) It will affect local expenditures.		( )	( X )
b) It will affect federal expenditures.		( )	( X )
c) It will affect private/other expenditures.		( )	( X )
d) It will affect intra-District expenditures.		( )	( X )
2. It will impact revenue. (If "Yes," complete Section 2 in the Fiscal Estimate Worksheet).		( )	( X )
a) It will impact local revenue.		( )	( X )
b) It will impact federal revenue.		( )	( X )
c) It will impact private/other revenue.		( )	( X )
d) It will impact intra-District revenue.		( )	( X )
3. The bill will have NO or minimal fiscal impact. (If "Yes," explain below).		( X )	( )
<b>Explanation:</b> This bill will result in a minimal fiscal impact. In Calendar Year 2002, a total of approximately 2,700 appeals of traffic infractions were filed; 1,111 of these were filed with the Division of Motor Vehicles (DMV). Only 185 of these 1,111 appeals with DMV were successful, or about 17%. Assuming that the same percentage of all traffic infraction appeals were successful in Calendar Year 2002, this would result in a total of approximately 460 successful appeals. Since the fee charged for appealing a traffic infraction is \$10 (ten dollars), the refunding of this fee to individuals who are successful in their appeal would result in a total revenue loss to the District of between \$1,850 and \$4,600, a <i>de minimus</i> amount.			

Part II: Other Impact of the Bill		YES	NO
If you check "Yes" for each question, please explain on separate sheet, if necessary.			
1. It will affect an agency and/or agencies in the District.		( X )	( )
It will affect the Bureau of Traffic Adjudication in a very minor way (see above).			
2. Are there performance measures/output for this bill?		( )	( X )
3. Will it have results/outcome, i.e., what would happen if this bill is not enacted?		( )	( X )
4. Are funds appropriated for this bill in the Budget and Financial Plan for the current year?		( )	( X )

Sources of information:	Councilmember: Carol Schwartz
Division of Motor Vehicles, Department of Public Works.	Staff Person & Telephone: Andrew Gerst (202) 727-8272
	Council Budget Director's Signature: <i>ATB BOA</i>

02/27/03

3386

10:41 AM

2/24/03



## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

AN ACT  
D.C. ACT 15-64IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
APRIL 16, 2003*Codification  
District of  
Columbia  
Official Code*

2001 Edition

2003 Supp.

West Group  
Publisher

To amend Title 21 of the District of Columbia Official Code to include domestic partners and close friends among the persons authorized to make health-care decisions for incapacitated individuals, and to provide some flexibility in the priority list of individuals who may be authorized to make such decisions.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this act may be cited as the "Health-Care Decisions Act of 2003".

Sec. 2. Chapter 22 of Title 21 of the District of Columbia Official Code is amended as follows:

(a) Section 21-2202 is amended as follows:

Amend  
§ 21-2202

(1) A new paragraph (1A) is added to read as follows:

"(1A) "Close friend" means any adult who has exhibited significant care and concern for the patient, and has maintained regular contact with the patient so as to be familiar with his or her activities, health, and religious and moral beliefs."

(2) A new paragraph (2A) is added to read as follows:

"(2A) "Domestic partner" means an adult person living with, but not married to, another adult person in a committed, intimate relationship. The term "domestic partner" shall include any adult who has registered as a domestic partner under the Health Care Benefits Expansion Act of 1992, effective June 11, 1992 (D.C. Law 9-114; D.C. Official Code § 32-701 *et seq.*), as well as any adult who has registered as a domestic partner in a substantially equivalent program administered by another jurisdiction."

(b) Section 21-2210 is amended as follows:

Amend  
§ 21-2210

(1) Subsection (a) is amended as follows:

(A) Paragraph (2) is amended by adding the phrase "or domestic partner" after the word "spouse".

(B) Paragraph (5A) is amended by striking the word "or" at the end.

(C) A new paragraph (5B) is added to read as follows:

"(5B) A close friend of the patient; or".

(2) New subsections (f) and (g) are added to read as follows:

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

“(f) The order of priority established in subsection (a) of this section creates a presumption that may be rebutted if a person of lower priority is found to have better knowledge of the wishes of the patient, or, if the wishes of the patient are unknown and cannot be ascertained, is better able to demonstrate a good-faith belief as to the interests of the patient.

“(g)(1) An individual identified in subsection (a)(5B) of this section shall not be authorized to grant, refuse, or withdraw consent on behalf of the patient with respect to a decision regarding a health-care service, treatment, or procedure if the individual is:

“(A) A health-care provider who is treating or providing services to the incapacitated patient at the time of the health-care decision; or


“(B) An owner, operator, administrator, or employee of, or a person with decision-making authority for, a health-care provider treating or providing services to the incapacitated patient at the time of the health-care decision.”.


Sec. 3. Fiscal impact statement.

The Council adopts the fiscal impact statement in the committee report as the fiscal impact statement required by section 602(c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(3)).

Sec. 4. Effective date.

This act shall take effect following approval by the Mayor (or in the event of veto by the Mayor, action by the Council to override the veto), a 30-day period of Congressional review as provided in section 602(c)(1) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(1)), and publication in the District of Columbia Register.

  
Chairman  
Council of the District of Columbia

  
Mayor  
District of Columbia  
APPROVED  
April 16, 2003

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

AN ACT  
D.C. ACT 15-65

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

APRIL 16, 2003Codification  
District of  
Columbia  
Official Code

2001 Edition

2003 Supp.

West Group  
Publisher

To amend AN ACT To regulate the election of delegates representing the District of Columbia to national political conventions, and for other purposes, to change the dates for holding elections to allow the District of Columbia to hold the first Presidential primary election every 4 years.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this act may be cited as the "Presidential Primary Election Amendment Act of 2003".

Sec. 2. AN ACT To regulate the election of delegates representing the District of Columbia to national political conventions, and for other purposes, approved August 12, 1955 (69 Stat. 699; D.C. Official Code §1-1001.01 *et seq.*), is amended as follows:

(a) Section 5(b)(1) (D.C. Official Code §1-1001.05(b)(1)) is amended by striking the phrase "1<sup>st</sup> Tuesday in May" and inserting the phrase "2<sup>nd</sup> Tuesday in January" in its place.

(b) Section 10(a) (D.C. Official Code §1-1001.10(a)) is amended as follows:

(1) Paragraph (1) is amended to read as follows:

"(a)(1) The election of the officials referred to in section 1(1) shall be held on the 1<sup>st</sup> Tuesday after the 2<sup>nd</sup> Monday in September of each presidential election year. The elections of the officials referred to in section 1(2) and (3), and of officials designated pursuant to section 1(4), and the primary under section 5(b) shall be held on the 2<sup>nd</sup> Tuesday in January of each presidential election year."

(2) Paragraph (3)(A) is amended by striking the phrase "on the 1st Tuesday in May of each even-numbered year which is a presidential election year, and in other even-numbered years, on the 1st Tuesday after the 2nd Monday in September" and inserting the phrase "on the 1st Tuesday after the 2nd Monday in September of each even-numbered year" in its place.

Sec. 3. Fiscal impact statement.

The Council adopts the fiscal impact statement in the committee report as the fiscal


Amend  
§1-1001.05  
Amend  
§1-1001.10


## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

impact statement required by section 602(c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D. C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(3)).

Sec. 4. Effective date.

This act shall take effect following approval by the Mayor (or in the event of veto by the Mayor, action by the Council to override the veto), a 30-day period of Congressional review as provided in section 602(c)(1) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code §1-206.02 (c)(1)), and publication in the District of Columbia Register.

  
Chairman  
Council of the District of Columbia

  
Mayor  
District of Columbia

APPROVED  
April 15, 2003

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

AN ACT  
D.C. ACT 15-66IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
APRIL 16, 2003Codification  
District of  
Columbia  
Official Code

2001 Edition

2003 Supp.

West Group  
Publisher

To amend, on a temporary basis, the Health Services Planning Program Re-Establishment Act of 1996 to provide that staffing of the State Health Planning and Development Agency ("SHPDA") can continue beyond March 1, 2003, to authorize the funding of SHPDA through fees, to exempt public, charter, and private schools from the certificate of need procedures for services offered to students with special needs, to require that certificate of need determinations be made within 90 days, extendable under certain circumstances for an additional 30 days, to authorize SHPDA to charge fees for providing to the public documents relating to the application process, to increase the threshold for nonpatient care projects from \$5 million to \$8 million, to establish the State Health Planning and Development Fund as a nonlapsing, revolving fund, and to implement other streamlined certificate of need procedures; to amend Title XX of the Fiscal Year 2003 Budget Support Amendment Act of 2002 to provide that staffing of the SHPDA can continue beyond March 1, 2003; and to amend Title XX of the Fiscal Year 2003 Budget Support Amendment Congressional Review Emergency Act of 2002 to provide that staffing of the SHPDA can continue beyond March 1, 2003.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this act may be cited as the "Health Services Planning and Development Temporary Amendment Act of 2003".

Sec. 2. The Health Services Planning Program Re-Establishment Act of 1996, effective April 9, 1997 (D.C. Law 11-191; D.C. Official Code § 44-401 *et seq.*), is amended as follows:

(a) Section 2 (D.C. Official Code § 44-401) is amended as follows:

(1) Paragraph (3)(A) is amended by striking the number "\$2,000,000" and inserting the number "\$2,500,000" in its place.

(2) Paragraph (10) is amended by striking the phrase "the private office facilities of a health professional," and inserting the phrase "the private office facilities of a health professional or group of health professionals, where the health professional or group of health professionals provides conventional offices services limited to medical consultation,

Note,  
§ 44-401

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

general non-invasive examination, and minor treatment," in its place.

(3) Paragraph (14)(A) is amended to read as follows:

"(14)(A) "Major medical equipment" means equipment which is used for the provision of medical or other health services, which is acquired by lease, purchase, donation or other comparable arrangement by or on behalf of a health care facility and which has a fair market value in excess of \$1,500,000 or by or on behalf of a physician or group of physicians and which has a fair market value in excess of \$750,000; except that the SHPDA may, by rule, adjust this threshold annually to reflect the change in the Consumer Price index issued by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, United States Department of Labor. "Major medical equipment" shall not include medical equipment acquired by or on behalf of a clinical laboratory to provide clinical laboratory services if the clinical laboratory is independent of a physician's office or a hospital and it meets the requirements of § 1861(s)(10) and (11) under the Social Security Act, approved August 14, 1935 (49 Stat. 420; 42 U.S.C. 1395x(s))."

(b) Section 3(a) (D.C. Official Code § 44-402(a)) is amended as follows:

Note,  
§ 44-402

(1) Designate the existing language as paragraph (1).

(2) A new paragraph (2) is added to read as follows:

"(2) Local revenues, not to exceed fees collected pursuant to section 21, shall be utilized to fund a maximum of 4 staff positions to administer SHPDA (Project Review Division - Certificate of Need Division Chief; 2 Public Health Analysts; and Secretary)."

(c) Section 6 (D.C. Official Code § 44-405) is amended by adding a new subsection (g) to read as follows:

Note,  
§ 44-405

"(g) The SHPDA is authorized to establish a fee schedule for certain data, analyses, and reports available through SHPDA."

(d) Section 8(b) (D.C. Official Code § 44-407(b)) is amended as follows:

Note,  
§ 44-407

(1) Paragraphs (1), (3), and (4) are amended to read as follows:

"(1) The upgrading, maintenance, or correction of facility deficiencies that may be in violation of federal and District fire, building, and safety codes, or that will improve patient safety;

"(3) Nonpatient care projects requiring the obligation of a capital expenditure of less than \$8 million;

"(4) The acquisition of the same or similar medical equipment to replace, upgrade, or expand the capacity of the same equipment for which a certificate of need has been granted, if the replacement equipment is removed from service;"

(2) Paragraph (6) is repealed.

(3) Paragraph (8) is amended by striking the word "and" at the end.

(4) Paragraph (9) is amended by striking the phrase "review." and inserting the phrase "review;" in its place.

(5) New paragraphs (10) and (11) are added to read as follows:

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

“(10) The acquisition of major medical equipment or institution of new institutional health services determined by the Department to be necessary for a declared public health purpose or deemed necessary to provide health care services under contract to or grant from a District of Columbia agency; and

“(11) District of Columbia public, charter, and private schools for any health care service offered or developed for students with special needs in compliance with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, or the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment standards, or any other federal or District of Columbia legal requirements.”.

(e) Section 10 (D.C. Official Code § 44-409) is amended as follows:

Note,  
§ 44-409

(1) Subsection (c) is amended as follows:

(A) Insert the phrase "for expedited administrative review," after the phrase "renewal applications,".

(B) Strike the last sentence.

(2) Subsection (d)(2) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) The SHPDA shall issue its determination on an application for a certificate of need within 90 days after the date that the review process begins. If the SHPDA cannot issue its determination within that period, the review period may be extended for one additional period of 30 days.”.

(3) Subsection (f) is amended by adding a new sentence at the end to read as follows:

“The SHPDA is authorized to charge reasonable fees for the costs of providing to the public documents covered under this subsection.”.

(f) Section 11(a) (D.C. Official Code § 44-410 (a)) is amended by inserting the phrase “major medical equipment,” after the phrase "health service,".

Note,  
§ 44-410

(g) Section 21 (D.C. Official Code § 44-420) is amended by inserting 3 new sentences at the end to read as follows:

Note,  
§ 44-420

“The annual user fee for private hospitals shall be \$4 per inpatient admission, based on the previous year’s admission data, to be paid to the SHPDA on a quarterly basis, in lieu of a certificate of need application fee. User fees may also be established for other classes of facilities by regulation. SHPDA may adjust a user fee periodically to reflect the change in the Consumer Price index issued by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, United States Department of Labor.”.

(h) A new section 22b is added to read as follows:

Note,  
§ 44-421

“Sec. 22b. Establishment of State Health Planning and Development Fund.

“(a) There is established as a nonlapsing, revolving fund in the Department of Health the State Health Planning and Development Fund ("SHPDA Fund"), to be administered by the Mayor as an agency fund as defined in section 373(2)(I) of Title 47 of the District of Columbia

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

Official Code, to which all fees, civil fines, and interest relating to the State Health Planning and Development Agency shall be deposited and credited.

“(b) Revenues deposited into the SHPDA Fund shall not revert to the General Fund at the end of any fiscal year or at any other time but shall be continually available to the Department of Health for the uses and purposes set forth in subsection (c) of this section, subject to authorization by Congress in an appropriations act.

“(c) Subject to the applicable laws relating to the appropriation of District funds, monies received by and deposited in the State Health Planning and Development Fund shall be for the sole use of the State Health Planning and Development Agency and from it shall be paid all salaries and all other expenses necessary in carrying out the duties of the SHPDA. The Mayor shall be responsible for the deposit and expenditure of these monies.

“(d) The Mayor shall submit to the Council, as a part of the annual budget, a requested appropriation for expenditures from the State Health Planning and Development Fund. The Mayor's budget request shall be based on an estimated projection of the expenditures necessary to perform the administrative and regulatory functions of the State Health Planning and Development Agency.”.

Sec. 3. Title XX of the Fiscal Year 2003 Budget Support Amendment Act of 2002, signed by the Mayor on December 4, 2002 (D.C. Act 14-543; 49 DCR 11664), is amended as follows:

(a) Section 2002(b)(1)(B) is amended to read as follows:

“(B) A new paragraph (2) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) Local revenues, not to exceed fees collected pursuant to section 21, shall be utilized to fund a maximum of 4 staff positions to administer SHPDA (Project Review Division - Certificate of Need Division Chief; 2 Public Health Analysts; and Secretary).”.

Sec. 4. Title XX of the Fiscal Year 2003 Budget Support Amendment Congressional Review Emergency Act of 2003, signed by the Mayor on February 24, 2003 (D.C. Act 15-27), is amended as follows:

(a) Section 2002(b)(1)(B) is amended to read as follows:

“(B) A new paragraph (2) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) Local revenues, not to exceed fees collected pursuant to section 21, shall be utilized to fund a maximum of 4 staff positions to administer SHPDA (Project Review Division - Certificate of Need Division Chief; 2 Public Health Analysts; and Secretary).”.

Sec. 5. Applicability.

This act shall apply as of March 1, 2003.

Sec. 6. Fiscal impact statement.




## ENROLLED ORIGINAL


The Council adopts the attached fiscal impact statement of the Chief Financial Officer as the fiscal impact statement required by section 602(c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(3)).

Sec. 7. Effective date.

(a) This act shall take effect following approval by the Mayor (or in the event of veto by the Mayor, action by the Council to override the veto), a 30-day period of Congressional review as provided in section 602(c)(1) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(1)), and publication in the District of Columbia Register.


(b) This act shall expire 225 days after its having taken effect.

  
Chairman  
Council of the District of Columbia

  
Mayor  
District of Columbia  
APPROVED  
April 16, 2003

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICERNatwar M. Gandhi  
Chief Financial OfficerMEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Linda W. Cropp  
Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia

FROM: Natwar M. Gandhi  
Chief Financial Officer 

DATE: MAR 14 2003

SUBJECT: Fiscal Impact Statement: "Health Services Planning and  
Development Amendment Act of 2003"

REFERENCE: Draft Legislation – Bill Number Not Available

---

**Conclusion**

Funds are sufficient in the FY 2003 to FY 2006 budget and financial plan to enact the Health Services Planning and Development Amendment Act of 2003. The proposed legislation would result in approximately \$99,114 in additional net revenue in FY 2003 and \$583,064 in additional net revenue for the SHPDA non-lapsing, revolving O-type fund in FY 2003 through FY 2006.

The proposed legislation would generate revenue sufficient to offset the personal and non-personal services costs of the State Health Services Planning and Development Agency (SHPDA) Project Review Division in the Department of Health (DOH) for the remaining six months of FY 2003 and the FY 2004 through FY 2006 period. The legislation would authorize SHPDA to establish additional fees through rulemaking authority.

**Background**

As part of the FY 2003 budget reduction measures in the Budget Support Amendment Act of 2002, appropriated funding for the SHPDA was eliminated and a 13-member Certificate of Need Reform Work Group was established by Council to examine and revise the certificate of need functions performed by SHPDA. The Work Group submitted its report to Council on January 31, 2003 concluding that health planning and

Honorable Linda W. Cropp  
 FIS: "Health Services Planning and Development Amendment Act of 2003"  
 Page 2 of 3

certificate of need functions were core public health functions that should be maintained and funded.

The proposed legislation would implement the findings of the Work Group to provide for the staffing and funding of the SHPDA Project Review Division. The funding mechanism authorized by the legislation includes a combination of Certificate of Need Application Fees and a \$4 per inpatient hospital user fee based on audited inpatient hospital admissions. The hospital user fee would be paid to SHPDA quarterly in lieu of a Certificate of Need Application Fee. The legislation also establishes a nonlapsing, revolving SHPDA Fund in the Department of Health to which all fees, civil fines and interest are to be deposited and credited. It does not permit revenues deposited in the Fund to revert to the General Fund at the end of any fiscal year or at any other time. Finally, this bill would amend the FY 2003 Budget Support Amendment Act of 2002 and the FY 2003 Budget Support Amendment Congressional Review Emergency Act of 2002 to provide that staffing of the SHPDA can continue beyond March 1, 2003.

#### Financial Plan Impact

The proposed legislation would result in approximately \$99,114 in additional net revenue in FY 2003 and \$583,064 in additional net revenue for the SHPDA nonlapsing, revolving O-type fund in FY 2003 through FY 2006. The proposed legislation would also establish an "O-type" fund account, thus dedicating revenues generated by the program to fund the program's operations. It is the policy of the District government to limit this practice to cases where the program funding cannot be properly accommodated through the local fund budget process. Dedicated revenues, including O-type funds, do not promote sound budgeting and spending practices because they reduce the District's flexibility to address current and future priorities, eroding the integrity of the General Fund.

Under current law, SHPDA collects revenue through Certificate of Need fees for proposed capital expenditures on new or expanding health care facilities that would not be subject to the user fee. This fee is estimated to generate \$15,000 per year. The proposed legislation would generate \$526,216 per year in new revenue through the assessment of a \$4 per in-patient admission for hospitals, paid quarterly to SHPDA. There are around 132,000 hospital admissions per year in the District. The agency would have to receive budget authority to use these additional revenues to offset their expenditures.

<b>Total (current and new) Estimated Revenue</b>					
	<b>FY2003</b>	<b>FY2004</b>	<b>FY2005</b>	<b>FY2006</b>	<b>4-Year Total</b>
Current Revenue	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$60,000
Proposed In-Patient Admission Fee (Hospitals)	\$263,108	\$526,216	\$526,216	\$526,216	\$1,841,756
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$278,108</b>	<b>\$541,216</b>	<b>\$541,216</b>	<b>\$541,216</b>	<b>\$1,901,756</b>

Honorable Linda W. Cropp

FIS: "Health Services Planning and Development Amendment Act of 2003"

Page 3 of 3

The proposed legislation would fund four ongoing staff, with the associated personal service (PS) costs detailed in the table on the next page. The estimates assume fringe benefits equal to 17.40 percent of base salary and 3 percent increase for inflation in each year beginning in FY 2003. Personal service costs for FY 2003 are based on the salaries that would be paid in the remaining six months of the fiscal year.

<b>Personal Services (PS) Costs</b>					
	<b>FY2003 (1/2 Year)</b>	<b>FY2004</b>	<b>FY2005</b>	<b>FY2006</b>	<b>4-Yr Total</b>
Project Review Division	\$132,802	\$273,572	\$281,779	\$290,232	\$978,384

The proposed legislation would fund ongoing non-personal service (NPS) costs. These costs include supplies, equipment, and contract expenses. These costs are detailed in the table below and assume inflationary increases of 3 percent beginning in FY 2003. Non-personal services costs for FY 2003 are based on supplies and operations expenditures that would be paid in the remaining months of the fiscal year.

<b>Non-Personal Services (NPS) Costs</b>					
	<b>FY2003 (1/2 Year)</b>	<b>FY2004</b>	<b>FY2005</b>	<b>FY2006</b>	<b>4-Yr Total</b>
Contracts, Equipment, Supplies	\$46,192	\$95,156	\$98,010	\$100,950	\$340,308

In FY 2003 through FY 2006, the revenues generated by the fee will offset an estimated \$1,318,692 of the agency's operations costs if the agency receives the budget authority to do so. With this potential offset, this legislation would result in an additional \$583,064 in revenue which would be deposited in the SHPDA fund created by the legislation. Additional fees could be levied as authorized by the proposed legislation; however, the amount and timing of any such offset is speculative and cannot be estimated at this time.

<b>Estimated Impact to the Financial Plan</b>					
	<b>FY2003 (1/2 Year)</b>	<b>FY2004</b>	<b>FY2005</b>	<b>FY2006</b>	<b>4-Year Total</b>
Revenue	\$278,108	\$541,216	\$541,216	\$541,216	\$1,901,756
Personal Services Cost	(\$132,802)	(\$273,572)	(\$281,779)	(\$290,232)	(\$978,384)
Non-Personal Services Cost	(\$46,192)	(\$95,156)	(\$98,010)	(\$100,950)	(\$340,308)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$99,114</b>	<b>\$172,489</b>	<b>\$161,427</b>	<b>\$150,033</b>	<b>\$583,064</b>

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

AN ACT  
D.C. ACT 15-67IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
APRIL 16, 2003

To amend, on a temporary basis, sections 2405.3 and 2601.1 of Title 18 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations, to reduce the fine for parking a commercial vehicle, bus, or sightseeing vehicle in front of a residence or other prohibited location from \$500 to \$250 and to exempt all 4-wheel, 2-axle District of Columbia registered commercial vehicles.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this act may be cited as the "Commercial Vehicle Parking Fines Temporary Amendment Act of 2003".

Sec. 2. Title 18 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations is amended as follows:

DCMR

(a) Section 2405.3(e) is amended to read as follows:

"(e) In the case of any commercial vehicle not registered in the District of Columbia or a District of Columbia registered commercial vehicle with more than two (2) axles or more than four (4) wheels, on any public thoroughfare in front of, alongside, or in the rear of any private dwelling or apartment, church, school, playground, hospital, or alongside or around any public park except on stands established in accordance with this chapter; Provided, that contractors may park trucks in front of, alongside, or in the rear of such property while engaged in work at such place for which the truck is reasonably necessary. For the purposes of this paragraph, the term "commercial vehicle" shall include buses and sightseeing vehicles."

(b) Section 2601.1 is amended by striking the phrase "Commercial vehicle, bus, or sightseeing vehicle in front of a residence or other prohibited location [§2405.3(e)] .... \$500" and inserting the phrase "Commercial vehicle not registered in the District of Columbia or District of Columbia registered commercial vehicle with more than two axles or more than four wheels [§2405.3(e)] .... \$250" in its place.


Sec. 3. Fiscal impact statement.


This act shall have not fiscal impact on the existing budget.

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

Sec. 4. (a) This act shall take effect following approval by the Mayor (or in the event of veto by the Mayor, action by the Council to override the veto), a 30-day period of Congressional review as provided in section 602(c)(1) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(1)), and publication in the District of Columbia Register.

(b) This act shall expire after 225 days of its having taken effect.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman  
Council of the District of Columbia

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor  
District of Columbia  
APPROVED  
April 16, 2003

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

AN ACT

D.C. ACT 15-68

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

APRIL 16, 2003*Codification  
District of  
Columbia  
Official Code*

2001 Edition

2003 Supp.

West Group  
Publisher

To amend, on an emergency basis, due to Congressional review, Chapter 10 of Title 47 of the District of Columbia Official Code to exempt from taxation certain property of the Crispus Attucks Development Corporation, a tax-exempt organization, and to provide equitable real property tax relief to the organization.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this act may be cited as the "Crispus Attucks Development Corporation Real Property Tax Exemption and Equitable Real Property Tax Relief Congressional Review Emergency Act of 2003".

Sec. 2. Chapter 10 of Title 47 of the District of Columbia Official Code is amended as follows:

(a) The table of contents for Chapter 10 is amended by adding a new section 47-1053 to read as follows:

*Note.*  
§ 47-1053

"47-1053. Crispus Attucks Development Corporation, lot 0046 in square 3117."

(b) A new section 47-1053 is added to read as follows:

"§ 47-1053. Crispus Attucks Development Corporation, lot 0046 in square 3117.

"(a) The real property located at 77 U Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., lot 0046 in square 3117, shall be exempt from all taxation so long as it is used to carry out the public purposes and activities of the Crispus Attucks Development Corporation and is not used for commercial purposes, subject to the provisions of §§ 47-1005, 47-1007, and 47-1009.

"(b) This section shall apply as of February 1, 2003."

Sec. 3. Real property taxes, interest, penalties, fees, and other related charges assessed against the real property located at 77 U Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., lot 0046 in square 3117, for the period of July 1, 1989, through January 31, 2003, shall be forgiven.

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

## Sec. 4. Applicability.


This act shall apply upon its inclusion in an approved budget and financial plan.


## Sec. 5. Fiscal impact statement.

The Council adopts the attached fiscal impact statement as the fiscal impact statement required by section 602(c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(3)).

## Sec. 6. Effective date.

This act shall take effect following approval by the Mayor (or in the event of veto by the Mayor, action by the Council to override the veto), and shall remain in effect for no longer than 90 days, as provided for emergency acts of the Council of the District of Columbia in section 412(a) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 788; D.C. Official Code § 1-204.12(a)).

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman  
Council of the District of Columbia

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor  
District of Columbia

APPROVED  
April 16, 2003




**Government of the District of Columbia  
Office of the Chief Financial Officer**



**Natwar M. Gandhi**  
Chief Financial Officer

**MEMORANDUM**

**TO:** The Honorable Linda W. Cropp  
Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia

**FROM:** Natwar M. Gandhi  
Chief Financial Officer 

**DATE:** DEC 16 2002

**SUBJECT:** Fiscal Impact Statement: "Crispus Attucks Development Corporation Real Property Tax Exemption and Equitable Real Property Tax Relief Act of 2002"

**REFERENCE:** Draft Legislation as Introduced - Number Not Available

---

**Conclusion**

Funds are not sufficient in the FY 2003 through FY 2006 budget and financial plan as agreed to by the mayor and the Council of the District of Columbia. Implementing the provisions of the proposed legislation will result in unbudgeted reductions in real property tax revenue. The proposed foregone property tax and exemption will cause additional local General Fund revenue reductions of \$295,000 in FY 2003 and approximately \$325,000 in FY 2003 through FY 2006.

**Background**

The subject property was originally granted exempt status on July 1, 1977. It was returned to the tax roll effective July 1, 1989. Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) sold the lien for this property for tax years 1990 through 1995 at the tax sales in 1996. Liens on this property for tax years 1996, 1997 and 1998 were also sold to individuals at OTR's annual tax sale in subsequent years.

The proposed legislation provides the Crispus Attucks Development Corporation with relief from all real property tax liability for Lot 0046 in Square 3117 located at 77 'U' Street, N.W. Provisions of the proposed legislation will require the District to forego or refund all real property taxation from October 1, 1994 to present including all fees,

**3403**

The Honorable Linda W. Cropp

FIS: Draft Legislation, "Crispus Attucks Development Corporation

Real Property Tax Exemption and Equitable Real Property Tax Relief"

Page 2 of 2

penalties, interest and other related charges<sup>1</sup>. The proposed legislation will exempt the subject property from future real property taxation conditioned on the owners maintaining the activities on the property as serving public purposes and not for commercial purposes.

#### Financial Plan Impact

Funds are not sufficient in the FY 2003 through FY 2006 budget and financial plan because the proposed legislation will result in unbudgeted reductions in real property tax revenue and tax refunds. The following table presents the estimated reduction to local General Fund revenue caused by the proposed tax relief and real property tax exemptions. An inflationary factor is applied beginning in tax year 2004.

Estimated Reduction in Local General Fund Revenue				
(S in 000s)				
FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	4-Year Total
\$295	\$9	\$10	\$11	\$325

*The Chief Financial Officer's legislative fiscal analysis is prepared by the Special Projects and Fiscal Analysis Administration in the Office of Research and Analysis. Contact us at 441 4<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 400S, Washington D.C., 20001 or view our work on-line at <http://cfo.dc.gov>.*

<sup>1</sup> The District may be liable for attorney fees resulting from a terminated green property lien foreclosure stalled in July 2000. The full amount is yet to be disclosed.

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

AN ACT  
D.C. ACT 15-69

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

APRIL 16, 2003

To order, on an emergency basis, the closing of a portion of the alley system in Square 377, bounded by 9<sup>th</sup> Street, E Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Street, and F Street in Northwest Washington, D.C., in Ward 2.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this act may be cited as the "Closing of a Public Alley in Square 377, S.O. 02-3683, Emergency Act of 2003".

Sec. 2. (a) Pursuant to Section 201 of the Street and Alley Closing and Acquisition Procedures Act of 1982, effective March 10, 1983 (D.C. Law 4-201; D.C. Official Code § 9-202.01), the Council finds the portion of a public alley in Square 377, as shown on the Surveyor's plat filed under S.O. 02-3683, is unnecessary for alley purposes and orders it closed, with title to the land to vest as shown on the Surveyor's plat.

(b) The approval of the Council of this closing is contingent upon the satisfaction of all conditions set forth by the District agencies and affected public utilities in the official file of S.O. 02-3683, including the filing, in the Recorder of Deeds Division of the District of Columbia Office of Tax and Revenue, of a covenant between the District of Columbia and the owners of Lots 35 and 41 in Square 377, that incorporates the following conditions:


- (1) The conditions set forth in the decision and order of the Mayor's Agent for Historic Preservation, dated August 29, 2002, in H.P.A. No. 02-261 and H.P.A. No. 02-266; and
- (2) The conditions set forth in the decision of Board of Zoning Adjustment, dated July 9 and 23, 2002, in Application No. 16892.


Sec. 3. The Council adopts the attached fiscal impact statement as the fiscal impact statement required by section 602(c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(3)).

Sec. 4. The Secretary to the Council shall transmit a copy of this act, upon its effective date, each to the Surveyor of the District of Columbia, the District of Columbia Recorder of Deeds, the Office of Zoning, and the Office of Planning.

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

Sec. 5. This act shall take effect upon its enactment (approval by the Mayor, or in the event of veto by the Mayor, action by the Council to override the veto), and shall remain in effect for no longer than 90 days, as provided for emergency acts of the Council of the District of Columbia in section 412(a) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 788; D.C. Official Code § 1-204.12(a)).

  
Chairman  
Council of the District of Columbia

  
Mayor  
District of Columbia  
APPROVED  
April 16, 2003

OFFICE OF THE BUDGET DIRECTOR  
FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Bill Number:	Type: Emergency ( X )    Temporary ( )    Permanent ( )	Date Reported: April 1, 2003
--------------	---	------------------------------

Subject/Short Title: Closing of a Public Alley in Square 377, S.O. 02-3683, Emergency Act of 2003

## Part I. Summary of the Fiscal Estimates of the Bill

	YES	NO
1. It will impact spending. (If "Yes," complete Section 1 in the Fiscal Estimate Worksheet).	( )	( x )
a) It will affect local expenditures.	( )	( )
b) It will affect federal expenditures.	( )	( )
c) It will affect private/other expenditures.	( )	( )
d) It will affect intra-District expenditures.	( )	( )
2. It will impact revenue. (If "Yes," complete Section 2 in the Fiscal Estimate Worksheet).	( x )	( )
a) It will impact local revenue.	( )	( )
b) It will impact federal revenue.	( )	( )
c) It will impact private/other revenue.	( )	( )
d) It will impact intra-District revenue.	( )	( )
3. The bill will have NO or little fiscal impact on spending or revenue. (If "Yes," explain below).	( )	( X )

Explanation: The enactment of this bill would have a positive fiscal impact on the District of Columbia, because the alley closing will facilitate development of residential, commercial office, retail and arts-related development on sites that are currently vacant or underutilized in an area of Downtown where the District seeks revitalization. The applicant's property currently generates approximately \$225,000 in annual property taxes. Following completion of the development, annual property tax revenue will be approximately \$2,155,000. Moreover, it is estimated that an additional \$800,000-\$1,300,000 in annual sales tax revenue will be generated from retail, restaurant and vehicular off-street parking uses on the site. The proposed project will provide approximately 200-250 temporary jobs during construction, 900 office workers after completion, and an undetermined number of permanent jobs in retail, building management and parking facilities on the site.

## Part II. Other Impact of the Bill

If you check "Yes" for each question, please explain on separate sheet.

	YES	NO
1. It will affect an agency and/or agencies in the District.	( )	( x )
2. Will there be performance measures/output for this amendment?	( )	( x )
3. Will it have results/outcome, i.e., what would happen if this amendment is enacted or not enacted?	( )	( x )
4. Will the Budget and Financial Plan be affected by this bill?	( )	( x )

Sources of information: Staff

Councilmember: Jack Evans

Staff Person &amp; Tel: Schannette Grant, 724-8058

Reviewed by Budget Director:

Budget Office Tel: 202-724-8139

3407

41.103

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

AN ACT

D.C. ACT 15-70

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

APRIL 16, 2003*Codification  
District of  
Columbia  
Official Code*

2001 Edition

2003 Supp.

West Group  
Publisher

To amend, on a temporary basis, the Washington Convention Center Authority Act of 1994 to extend the terms of the appointees of the Washington Convention Center Advisory Committee until the earlier of 6 months after the opening of the first public event in the new convention center or December 31, 2003.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this act may be cited as the "Washington Convention Center Advisory Committee Continuity Temporary Amendment Act of 2003".

Sec. 2. Section 218(g) of the Washington Convention Center Authority Act of 1994, effective September 28, 1994 (D.C. Law 10-188; D.C. Official Code § 10-1202.18(g)), is amended to read as follows:

Note.  
§ 10-1202.18

"(g) The Committee shall advise the Authority until completion of construction, opening, and the earlier of 180 days after the opening of the first public event in the new convention center or December 31, 2003, at which time it shall be dissolved."

Sec. 3. Fiscal impact statement.

The Council adopts the attached fiscal impact states as the fiscal impact statement required by section 602(c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(3)).


Sec. 4. Effective date.


(a) This act shall take effect following approval by the Mayor (or in the event of veto by the Mayor, action by the Council to override the veto), a 30-day period of Congressional review as provided in section 602(c)(1) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(1)), and publication in the District of Columbia Register.

(b) This act shall expire after 225 days of its having taken effect.

  
Chairman  
Council of the District of Columbia

  
Mayor  
District of Columbia  
APPROVED  
April 16, 2003

**COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**OFFICE OF THE BUDGET DIRECTOR**                      **FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

Bill Number:	Type: Emergency ( )    Temporary (X)    Permanent ( )	Date Reported:
--------------	---	----------------

Subject/Short Title: "Washington Convention Center Advisory Committee Continuity Temporary Act of 2003"

**Part I. Summary of the Fiscal Estimates of the Bill**

	YES	NO
1. It will impact spending. (If "Yes," complete Section 1 in the Fiscal Estimate Worksheet).	( )	(x)
a) It will affect local expenditures.	( )	(x)
b) It will affect federal expenditures.	( )	(x)
c) It will affect private/other expenditures.	( )	(x)
d) It will affect intra-District expenditures.	( )	(x)
2. It will impact revenue. (If "Yes," complete Section 2 in the Fiscal Estimate Worksheet).	( )	(x)
a) It will impact local revenue.	( )	(x)
b) It will impact federal revenue.	( )	(x)
c) It will impact private/other revenue.	( )	(x)
d) It will impact intra-District revenue.	( )	(x)
3. The bill will have NO or minimal fiscal impact. (If "Yes," explain below).	( )	(x)

Explanation:

**Part II. Other Impact of the Bill**

If you check "Yes" for each question, please explain on separate sheet, if necessary.

	YES	NO
1. It will affect an agency and/or agencies in the District.	( )	(x)
2. Are there performance measures/output for this bill?	( )	(x)
3. Will it have results/outcome, i.e., what would happen if this bill is not enacted?	( )	(x)
4. Are funds appropriated for this bill in the Budget and Financial Plan for the current year?	( )	(x)

Sources of information:

Councilmember: Jack Evans

Staff Person & Tel: Schannette Grant, 202/724-8058

Council Budget Director's Signature:

*AMERICAN*  
3/3/03